

Dear Julia Astegiano,

Thank you for your latest comments which we have fully considered in the this new version.

Best,

Isis and co-authors

Dear Isis Poinas (and coauthors),

Many thanks for your work on this new version of your manuscript. You provided detailed answers to our comments and suggestions, modified the text in a way that made it more accessible and added key figures (Fig. 2 and 6) that clearly improved its understanding. I am ready to recommend your article but first I will need to ask you for a few minor changes (listed below).

Thank you in advance.

Best

Julia

Minor changes

Line 35: I suggest you define field margin management here (i.e., mostly mowing) to clarify your results, and adding “also” between intensity and resulted, as this result is in line with your previous one (both changes favor conservative strategies).

Done

“The reduction in field margin management intensity (mainly mowing), also resulted in a vegetation shift towards a more conservative strategy.”

Line 36: “there was no impact” on what? It seems to me that your sentence is incomplete. Please, at least add a generality as “vegetation changes” or “on all vegetation variables we measured”.

Done

“In contrast, there was no impact of the slight temporal changes of practices conducted within the field (herbicides, fertilization) on vegetation changes.”

Line 37: “practices within the field” (within cultivated areas?)

Done. We replaced by “cultivated fields”.

Table 1: write “Number of margin field management events” instead of “number of management events”

Done

Fig. 5. I suggest you add “(stress-tolerance)” to axis 1 and “(ruderality)” to axis 2

Done

Line 512: please, add the information on supplementary material location

Done