

I have read both the original and revised version and I commend the authors on an improved manuscript. The authors diminished their focus on social dominance and appropriately addressed the reviewer's comments. I appreciate the added analysis of the supplemental figure 1. This quickly shows that some individuals have a very consistent position whereas others our found at different positions throughout your study. Congratulations to the authors on this study that offers some fascinating new contributions to the literature on preferred roosting positions.

I have only a few small comments from my latest read of the paper, which are listed below.

L. 106: 'whether individuals produce (vocal) or not produce (nonvocal) response calls'.

L158-162: I would consider moving this to the next paragraph as both these analyses get at the consistency of individual's roosting positions.

L218-219: What number counts as 'most individuals'? Can the authors include here a number of individuals that showed preference for a certain positions (visible as where the observed proportion of time was much larger than the randomized proportion of time) and that did not show a preference (similar values for observed and randomized distributions)? So how many of the 37 bats showed a preference?