Feeding and growth variations affect $\delta 13C$ and $\delta 15N$ budgets during ontogeny in a lepidopteran larva

A PREPRINT

Samuel Charberet¹ samuel.charberet@sorbonne-universite.fr

Annick Maria¹annick.maria@sorbonne-universite.fr

David Siaussat¹ david.siaussat@sorbonne-universite.fr

Isabelle Gounand¹ isabelle.gounand@sorbonne-universite.fr

Jérôme Mathieu¹ jerome.mathieu@sorbonne-universite.fr

¹ Sorbonne Université, CNRS, UPEC, CNRS, IRD, INRAE Institute of Ecology and Environmental Sciences (iEES Paris), Paris, F-75005, France.

March 21, 2023

ABSTRACT

Isotopes are widely used in ecology to study food webs and physiology. The fractionation observed between trophic levels in nitrogen and carbon isotopes, explained by isotopic biochemical selectivity, is subject to important within-trophic level variations, leading to imprecision in trophic level estimation. Understanding the drivers of these variations is thus important to improve the study of food webs. In this study, we characterized this variation by submitting *Spodoptera littoralis* larvae to a gradient of starvation levels, a factor that we hypothesized would change the trophic fractionation between individuals. The various growth rates that were induced from these starvation levels resulted in a $\sim 1-1.5\%$ within-trophic level variation of the trophic fractionation in both carbon and nitrogen, which is substantial compared to the 3-4‰ classically associated with between-trophic level than they really are. We were able to gain an understanding of the effect of growth rate on isotopes fluxes between three easy-to-measure biological materials, food, organism and its wastes (frass), giving insight into physiological processes at play but also conveying helpful information to the sampling framework of field studies.

Keywords starvation · trophic fractionation · isotopic ecology

1 Introduction

2 Stable isotopes are frequently used to understand fluxes of nutrients in ecosystems as well as trophic position

³ and animal body condition (Post, 2002). The systematic differences in stable isotope levels between the

- ⁴ resource and the tissue of a consumer the trophic fractionation, here denoted Δ 13C and Δ 15N are used to
- 5 estimate the trophic level of consumers. It occurs because isotopes of different masses have slightly different

Feeding and growth variations affect δ 13C and δ 15N mass budget

A PREPRINT

kinetics during biochemical processes (i.e. respiration or absorption, see Fry, 2006). The 15N level of the
consumer is usually increased by 3-4‰ relative to its resource because animals retain 15N preferentially over
14N (Martinez del Rio et al., 2009). Carbon fractionation, on the other hand, might vary in a population due
to differences in the abundance of *de novo* synthesized lipids in the consumer's body (Melzer and Schmidt,
1987). However, the within-trophic level variability of trophic fractionation sometimes impedes accurate
trophic level estimation (Martinez del Rio et al., 2009). Understanding the drivers of these variations is

¹² crucial to improve our estimations.

13 Most of the proposed mechanisms to explain Δ 15N variation involve diet protein quality and metabolism (Starck, Wang, et al., 2005). However, nutritional status, determined by the resource availability in the 14 15 environment (Doi et al., 2017, Trochine et al., 2019), can influence trophic fractionation. Physiological 16 responses to nutritional stress involve adjustments in digestion, reserve utilization and metabolic rate. 17 As these processes change in rate (see fig.1.a. and c.), biochemical processes that determine absorption, respiration and excretion, also change, therefore impacting Δ 15N and Δ 13C. Total food restriction, which 18 19 causes weight loss (corresponding to negative growth rates in fig.1.b. and d.), has the overall tendency to increase heavy isotopes content (15N and 13C), leading to an overestimation of the trophic level (see 20 Adams and Sterner, 2000; Boag et al., 2006; Gorokhova and Hansson, 1999; Haubert et al., 2005; McCue, 2008; 21

²² Oelbermann and Scheu, 2002; Olive et al., 2003; O. Schmidt et al., 1999). But more rarely have the effect of

various feeding levels been considered, with no convincing conclusion to this day (Hertz et al., 2015). To

²⁴ improve the estimation of trophic levels by including these mechanisms, we need a detailed understanding

²⁵ of the relationship between variation in nutritional status and trophic fractionation.

Across this gradient in nutritional status, an important threshold is the maintenance feeding level (zero
growth rate in fig.1.b. and d.). Below the feeding level required for maintenance, body mass decreases,
and adaptations in lipids and proteins metabolism are triggered. Lipids typically contain proportionally
less 13C than proteins and carbohydrates (DeNiro and Epstein, 1977; McConnaughey and McRoy, 1979). A
shrinkage of body lipid content should thus result in an increase in Δ13C compared to high feeding levels

(Gaye-Siessegger et al., 2004), where the organism might be able to accumulate 13C-poor lipid reserves,

- therefore decreasing Δ 13C (fig.1.a.).
- Regarding nitrogen, low feeding levels are classically associated with an increase in Δ 15N due to asymmetrical isotopic routing during protein mobilization for energetic catabolism (Hatch, 2012, see fig.1.c.). But high

³⁵ feeding levels, which are often accompanied by high growth rates, can also be accompanied by an increase

³⁶ in Δ 15N (Sick et al., 1997, Focken, 2001). Indeed, due to an increase in protein synthesis and breakdown

³⁷ rates when the animal is growing fast, removal of 14N is intensified, thus enriching the consumer in 15N

and increasing Δ 15N (fig.1.c.). As a result, both very low and very high intake rates might increase Δ 15N,

³⁹ but due to different processes, protein mobilization at low intake rates in a weight loss context and protein

⁴⁰ synthesis and breakdown rates at high intake rates in a growth context.

41 Moreover, as the gut filling level decreases with underfeeding, the food passage time increases and the

⁴² biochemical conditions in the gut change. This change in temporal and chemical conditions might alter the

⁴³ isotopic fractionation right from the absorption stage (H.-L. Schmidt et al., 2015). The relative decrease in

the concentration of food in the near-empty gut might increase the enzymes' accessibility and, in turn, the

45 absorption of heavy isotopes. As a whole, trophic fractionation should depend on both nutritional status

and body mass dynamics (Sears et al., 2009, Williams et al., 2007; see also Hatch, 2012 for a review), but these

⁴⁷ effects remain poorly investigated, especially in varying feeding levels (see Gaye-Siessegger et al., 2007).

⁴⁸ Elucidating how the nutritional status modifies isotopic fractionation in a growing organism could shed

⁴⁹ light on the within-trophic level variability of the estimated trophic level and should be of interest for field

50 studies as well. We conducted a feeding level experiment during the larval development of the cotton leaf

Feeding and growth variations affect δ 13C and δ 15N mass budget

A PREPRINT



Figure 1: Isotopes routing and main hypotheses. a. & c. The three analyzed matrices, their relative (not-to-scale) content of isotopes, fluxes between them, as well as nodes where fractionation can occur (diamonds). The exact proportion of isotopes is not intended to represent reality faithfully but rather to illustrate the dynamical aspect of trophic fractionation. b. & d. Hypothesized relationship between trophic fractionation and growth rate for nitrogen and carbon. a. Most carbon is lost through either respiration or egestion and marginally through excretion. c. On the contrary, nitrogen is solely dropped through either egestion or excretion, with the impossibility of distinguishing their contribution only based on frass analysis. b. The hypothesized relationship between $\Delta 13C$ and growth rate, measured as mass gained per unit of time MT^{-1} . We expect a negative relationship because of the increasing proportion of 13C-poor *de novo* synthesized lipids, thus modulating the respiration fractionation. d. Hypothesized relationship between $\Delta 15N$ and growth rate. High growth rates should increase protein synthesis and breakdown rates, which retain preferentially 15N, and very low intake rates (weight loss) should increase protein catabolism, also increasing $\Delta 15N$, both playing on the excretion fractionation.

- worm *Spodoptera littoralis*, including severe food restriction, three intermediate restriction levels, and an *ad libitum* level, which corresponded to a range of growth rates. We assessed 15N and 13C budgets, measuring
 isotopic fractionations between food, body and frass (excretion + egestion).
- ⁵⁴ More specifically, we wanted to test the hypotheses that:

 Δ15N should increase at negative growth rates due to protein catabolism during weight loss and increase at positive growth rates due to faster protein synthesis and oxidation. Around maintenance
 level, as these two processes slow, Δ15N should decrease. Overall we should thus obtain a V-shaped
 relationship between Δ15N and growth rate (fig.1.d.).

- 2. Δ13C should decrease with growth rate because of the accumulation of 13C-poor lipid stores
 (fig.1.c.).
- 3. The relative absorption of 13C might increase at low feeding levels as both gut passage time and digestion efficiency increase.

Feeding and growth variations affect δ 13C and δ 15N mass budget

A PREPRINT

63 Material and methods

64 Study system

S. littoralis larvae from a laboratory strain were reared on a semi-artificial diet for the total duration of the experiment. We provide the detailed food composition in Appendix 1, table 1. The climate chamber was set at 23 °C, 60–70% relative humidity, and a 16:8 light/dark cycle (Hinks and Byers, 1976). In these rearing conditions and with continuous access to food, the larvae go through 7 instars before entering metamorphosis (chrysalid stage). To enable proper mass balance calculation and prevent cannibalism, we isolated the 400 larvae intended for the experiments at the 6th instar in individual 30 mL circular polypropylene boxes. We provided them *ad libitum* food until 6th moult completion (start of the 7th instar).

72 Experimental design

We randomly assigned each of the 400 7th instar larvae to one of five food provision levels for the duration 73 of the experiment. Food was kept the same as before the start of the feeding level experiment. The food 74 intake level was fixed to either 120, 240, 360, 480 or 900 mg of food per day (fw), depending on the larva. We 75 had beforehand estimated the average maximal individual intake rate for 7th instar larvae and obtained 595 76 \pm 43 mg/day. There were 80 individuals for each tested food intake level. We conducted this study over 77 10 weeks (10 temporal blocks), performing the experiment with 40 individuals each week, 8 for each food 78 intake level. Individual measurements and sample collections took place over two or three days depending 79 on whether the larva pre-pupation occurred on the third day of the 7th instar (in which case measures were 80 taken during 2 days) or later (in which case measures were taken during 3 days). 81

82 Experimental workflow

During the experiment, each larva was given the defined amount of freshly prepared food and weighed 83 every day. Food subsamples were taken at every food preparation for subsequent chemical analysis. We 84 collected and weighed daily food leftovers and frass produced by each larva to assess the actual intake and 85 egestion rates. Food leftovers and frass were quickly stored at -20 °C, and later dried for 72 hours at 60 °C in 86 an oven, to measure their dry mass. On the third day, half the larvae were quickly stored at -20 °C, dried for 87 72 hours at 60 °C in an oven, and their dry mass was measured. The other half of the individuals was left in 88 the rearing chambers to later investigate the effect of food restriction on mortality, emergence success and 89 body mass (not analyzed here). 90

91 Chemical analyses

Chemical analyses required that we pooled samples to obtain enough analyzable material. Hence groups of 4 caterpillars reared over the same week and on the same feeding level were composed, 2 that were pooled together for chemical analysis, and 2 that were left alive until emergence. The analyzed frass was a pooled sample of all 4 individuals.

All samples - food, larvae, and frass - were ground to a fine powder using a mill. Total carbon, total nitrogen, as well as δ 13C and δ 15N were measured using an elemental analyser coupled to a mass-spectrometer (Flash

⁹⁸ HT - Delta V Advantage, ThermoFisher). We checked for measurement errors using aromatic polyimide

(EMA-P2) as standard.

Feeding and growth variations affect δ 13C and δ 15N mass budget

A PREPRINT

100 Starvation proxy and isotopic data

Intake rate alone does not accurately represent the nutritional status. Rather, it depends on the balance
 between intake and requirements, the latter largely depending on body mass. We, therefore, used mass specific ingestion rate (MSIR) as an indicator of nutritional status. Low values of mass-specific ingestion rate
 define intense starvation, whereas high values of mass-specific ingestion rate represent sufficient intake.

$$MSIR_{j} = \frac{\sum_{i \in j} I_{i}}{\left(\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i \in j} d_{i}\right) \left(\frac{\sum_{i \in j} ib_{i} + \sum_{i \in j} fb_{i}}{2}\right)}$$

with I_i the total fresh mass of food ingested by the individual *i* of the group *j* over the course of the 7th instar, d_i the number of days spent in 7th instar by individual *i*, ib_i the initial body mass of individual *i*, and fb_i the final body mass of individual *i*.

Pee Dee Belemnite (PDB) and atmospheric nitrogen were used as standards for δ 13C and δ 15N, respectively. Isotopic data for sample *s* are reported using delta notation:

$$\delta 13C_s = 1000 \left[\frac{13C_s/12C_s}{13C_{PDB}/12C_{PDB}} - 1 \right]$$

110 and

$$\delta 15N_s = 1000 \left[\frac{15N_s/14N_s}{15N_{air}/14N_{air}} - 1 \right]$$

The trophic fractionation, *i.e.* the difference in δ 13C or δ 15N between larvae and food, was computed as follows:

$$\Delta 13C = \delta 13C_{larvae} - \delta 13C_{food}$$

113 and

$$\Delta 15N = \delta 15N_{larvae} - \delta 15N_{food}$$

We computed the ratio of absorption efficiencies between the two carbon isotopes (thereafter C IAER) to characterize how isotopes are differentially absorbed. This metric characterizes the absorption process, which is one of the two fluxes, along with respiration, determining carbon trophic fractionation (fig.1.a.). We did not compute this metric for nitrogen because, unlike carbon, nitrogen excretion products also end up in insect frass, and it is, therefore, impossible to disentangle absorption from excretion effects using this metric (fig.1.c.). Moreover, as samples are heated during drying, some ammonium might volatilize, biasing the mass balance (Harrison, 1995).

$$C IAER = 1000 \left(\frac{AE_{13C}}{AE_{12C}} - 1 \right)$$

with AE_i the proportion of ingested isotope which is assimilated, and not egested/excreted, over the 7th instar, given in % dry weight:

$$AE_{jk} = 1 - \frac{C_{Ejk}E_j}{C_{Ijk}I_j}$$

with C_{Ejk} the proportion of isotope k in the frass of the group j, E_j the summed mass of frass produced by the four larvae of the group j and with C_{Ijk} the proportion of isotope k in the food of the group j, I_j the summed mass of food ingested by the four larvae of the group j. Please refer to Appendix 2 for a detailed calculation of C_{Ejk} and C_{Ijk} .

127 Statistics

¹²⁸ To test the effect of starvation and subsequent variation in growth rate (GR) on the trophic fractionation and

relative carbon isotope absorptions, we used linear regressions. We chose to test the effect of growth rates on Δ 15N and Δ 13C, and the effect of mass-specific intake rate on C IAER. Details on modelling choices are

131 provided in Appendix 3.

132 **Results**

Equation	n	R^2	F	p-value
$\Delta 13C = -0.0032 \times GR + -1.7$	92	0.35	48	p<0.01
$\Delta 15N = 0.0054 \times GR + 0.32$	92	0.53	100	p<0.01
$C IAER = -0.65 \times MSIR - 0.97$	100	0.28	38	p<0.01

Table 1: Summary of linear models describing the influence of growth rate and mass-specific ingestion rate (MSIR) on the trophic fractionation (Δ), and carbon isotope absorption efficiencies ratio (C IAER), respectively.

Despite strong starvation conditions, we were not able to force negative growth rate (fig.2.a.). We were

therefore unable to test the relationships between trophic fractionation - Δ 13C and Δ 15N - and growth rates

¹³⁵ for negative growth rates. Here, we describe these relationships for positive growth rates only.

136 **Trophic fractionation**

- As expected, larvae were always richer in 15N than the food they ate (Δ 15N>0 for all larvae, see fig.2.d.).
- There was a clear positive correlation between $\Delta 15N$ and (positive) growth rate ($F = 100, p < 0.01, R^2 = 0.53$

; table 1.) in accordance with our hypothesis (right side of the graph in fig.1.d). As for carbon, larvae were

always poorer in 13C than their food ($\Delta 13C < 0$ for all larvae, fig.2.c.), and this difference was exacerbated

by a growth rate increase (F = 48, p < 0.01, $R^2 = 0.35$; table 1.), also in accordance with our hypothesis

(fig.1.c.). In both cases, the Δ 13C and Δ 15N spanned over a range of \sim 2.5 ‰, of which 1 ‰ in the case of

carbon, and 1.5 % can be fully attributed to growth rate variation. These variations are substantial vis-à-vis

the one classically attributed to a one trophic level shift (3-4 ‰),

145 Isotope absorption efficiencies ratio (IAER)

The relative absorption of 12C and 13C depended on the mass-specific intake rate. 12C was systematically better absorbed than 13C, and this effect increased with feeding level ($R^2 = 0.28$, F = 38, p < 0.01, fig.2.b.).

148 Discussion

In agreement with our prediction, Δ 15N increases with growth rate, up to 1.5 ‰, which is substantial

compared to differences typically associated with trophic fractionation (3-4 ‰). Our results agree with

Feeding and growth variations affect δ 13C and δ 15N mass budget

A PREPRINT



Figure 2: Growth and isotopic analyses. a. Individual growth rate as a function of mass-specific intake rate. The fitted curve is a generalized additive model. b. Carbon isotope absorption efficiencies ratio (IAER) as a function of mass-specific intake rate measured at the level of a group of 4 caterpillars, hence the term "group". c. Carbon trophic (Δ 13C) fractionation as a function of growth rate. d. Nitrogen trophic fractionation (Δ 15N) as a function of growth rate.

previous work showing that Δ 15N is sensitive to growth, at least in some tissues, as highlighted by Sick et al., 151 1997. We show that food limitation does not always increase Δ 15N but rather depends on the underfeeding 152 153 intensity and whether underfeeding is concurrent with growth. This contrasts with the classic view that Δ 15N should increase in starved individuals owing to protein depletion for energetic requirements. At 154 least two studies suggested that this increase in Δ 15N at high growth rates could be due to higher rates 155 of deamination and protein synthesis at higher intake rates (Sick et al., 1997, Focken, 2001). Combining 156 both predictions leads to a more comprehensive view of the effect of feeding level on nitrogen trophic 157 fractionation. Despite very low intake rates, down to 10% of ad libitum levels, no weight loss was observed 158 in our experiment, leaving the complete shape of the relationship between $\Delta 15N$ and growth rate only 159 speculative, although the fact that most studies show an increase of Δ 15N with starvation intensity or fasting 160 duration in a negative growth context, whereas we find the contrary for positive growth rates, suggest such 161 a relationship (Del Rio and Wolf, 2005). But whether a V-shaped relation can arise or not requires further 162 investigation. 163

¹⁶⁴ On the other hand, Δ 13C decreased with growth rate and intake level, which is consistent with previous

findings (Doi et al., 2017). This is likely due to the possibility of constituting 13C-poor lipid reserves at high

growth rates (DeNiro and Epstein, 1977, McConnaughey and McRoy, 1979). To conclude, both Δ 13C and

 Δ 15N were affected by feeding level and growth rate. This shows that when assessing trophic levels using

Feeding and growth variations affect δ 13C and δ 15N mass budget

A PREPRINT

isotopic data, the nutritional status of the individual can bias the estimate. Despite being hard to estimate

without destructive measurements, at least severe starvation and underfeeding might be detectable through

environmental conditions. Physiologically, the nutritional state at which an individual grows can be assessed

through age-size comparison, sclerochronology if applicable (Castanet, 1994), or biochemical indicators (e.g.

ketone bodies, Chowdhury et al., 2014; Shah and Bailey, 1976).

Diet indicators are also prone to estimate error owing to variable nutritional status. The carbon isotopic signature of herbivores is sometimes used to estimate if their diet is composed primarily of C4 plants, rich in 13C (12 to20 ‰), or of the 13C-poorer C3 plants (25 to32 ‰), O'Leary, 1981). Elevated δ 13C values in the consumer can hence indicate a predominance of C4 plants in the diet. The proportion of C3 in the diet of insects has sometimes been inferred through this tool. It is not clear whether the intensity of isotopic fractionation due to starvation could change as a result of the difference between C4 or C3-based diets, the present case being an example of an artificial diet containing both. Still, starvation is likely to lead to overestimates of the C4 fraction although not by much (around 10% based on fig. 3 in Fry et al. 1978)

¹⁸⁰ overestimates of the C4 fraction, although not by much (around 10% based on fig. 3 in Fry et al., 1978).

The mass budget of heavy and light isotopes revealed that 12C was more easily absorbed than 13C, which is 181 consistent with the observation of a negative Δ 13C. But as the intake rate decreases, 13C is better absorbed 182 compared to well-fed animals. This indicates that the biochemical environment of the gut varies with intake 183 level, with effects on the processes of digestion and absorption. Moreover, we can also conclude that the 184 respiration fractionation either is negligible compared to the one associated with absorption or that it further 185 decreases the amount of 13C in the organism. But the biochemical origin of this modulation of 13C absorption 186 is unclear. It could be due to longer gut passage time, or to increased food enzymatic availability at low gut 187 filling levels. Our results reveal that the within-trophic level differences in trophic fractionation imputable to 188 nutritional status (1-1.5 %) are substantial compared to differences typically associated with trophic level 189 changes (3-4 %). Hence assessing trophic levels in natura using isotopic analysis requires caution, especially 190 if the community is perturbed and might be subject to nutritional stress. With the changes in frequency and 191 intensity of drought episodes, one should be cautious to these potential biases in isotopic trophic ecology. 192

193 Contribution

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

S.C., A.M., J.M., D.S. and I.G. designed the study. S.C. ran the experiment, did the analyses, and wrote the
 first draft of that manuscript. All authors contributed to the final version of the manuscript.

196 Funding

¹⁹⁷ This work was supported by the French National program EC2CO (Ecosphère Continentale et Côtière).

198 Acknowledgements

- ¹⁹⁹ The authors wish to thank Anabelle Fuentes and Philippe Couzi for their contribution to rearing and Magloire
- 200 Mandeng-Yogo for his support to chemical analysis.

²⁰¹ Data, script and code availability

²⁰² Data and code are available at DOI 10.5281/zenodo.7327343

Feeding and growth variations affect δ 13C and δ 15N mass budget

A PREPRINT

203 Conflict of interest disclosure

- ²⁰⁴ The authors declare they have no conflict of interest relating to the content of this article. I. Gounand and J.
- ²⁰⁵ Mathieu are identified as potential recommenders for PCI Ecology.

206 **References**

207	Adams, T. S., & Sterner, R. W. (2000). The effect of dietary nitrogen content on trophic level 15n enrichment.
208	Limnology and Oceanography, 45(3), 601–607.
209	Boag, B., Neilson, R., & Scrimgeour, C. M. (2006). The effect of starvation on the planarian arthurdendyus
210	triangulatus (tricladida: Terricola) as measured by stable isotopes. Biology and fertility of soils, 43,
211	267–270.
212	Castanet, J. (1994). Age estimation and longevity in reptiles. <i>Gerontology</i> , 40(2-4), 174–192.
213	Chowdhury, G. M., Jiang, L., Rothman, D. L., & Behar, K. L. (2014). The contribution of ketone bodies to
214	basal and activity-dependent neuronal oxidation in vivo. Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism,
215	34(7), 1233–1242.
216	Del Rio, C. M., & Wolf, B. O. (2005). Mass-balance models for animal isotopic ecology. Physiological and
217	ecological adaptations to feeding in vertebrates, 141–174.
218	DeNiro, M. J., & Epstein, S. (1977). Mechanism of carbon isotope fractionation associated with lipid synthesis.
219	Science, 197(4300), 261–263.
220	Doi, H., Akamatsu, F., & González, A. L. (2017). Starvation effects on nitrogen and carbon stable isotopes
221	of animals: An insight from meta-analysis of fasting experiments. Royal Society Open Science, 4(8),
222	170633.
223	Focken, U. (2001). Stable isotopes in animal ecology: The effect of ration size on the trophic shift of c and n
224	isotopes between feed and carcass. Isotopes in environmental and health studies, 37(3), 199–211.
225	Fry, B. (2006). Stable isotope ecology (Vol. 521). Springer.
226	Fry, B., Joern, A., & Parker, P. (1978). Grasshopper food web analysis: Use of carbon isotope ratios to examine
227	feeding relationships among terrestrial herbivores. <i>Ecology</i> , 59(3), 498–506.
228	Gaye-Siessegger, J., Focken, U., Abel, H., & Becker, K. (2007). Starvation and low feeding levels result in an
229	enrichment of 13c in lipids and 15n in protein of nile tilapia oreochromis niloticus l. Journal of Fish
230	<i>Biology</i> , <i>71</i> (1), 90–100.
231	Gaye-Siessegger, J., Focken, U., Muetzel, S., Abel, H., & Becker, K. (2004). Feeding level and individual
232	metabolic rate affect δ 13c and δ 15n values in carp: Implications for food web studies. <i>Oecologia</i> ,
233	138(2), 175–183.
234	Gorokhova, E., & Hansson, S. (1999). An experimental study on variations in stable carbon and nitrogen
235	isotope fractionation during growth of mysis mixta and neomysis integer. Canadian Journal of Fisheries
236	and Aquatic Sciences, 56(11), 2203–2210.
237	Harrison, J. F. (1995). Nitrogen metabolism and excretion in locusts. Nitrogen metabolism and excretion. Boca
238	Raton: CRC Press, Inc, 119–131.
239	Hatch, K. A. (2012). The use and application of stable isotope analysis to the study of starvation, fasting, and
240	nutritional stress in animals. <i>Comparative physiology of fasting, starvation, and food limitation,</i> 337–364.
241	Haubert, D., Langel, R., Scheu, S., & Ruess, L. (2005). Effects of food quality, starvation and life stage on
242	stable isotope fractionation in collembola. <i>Pedobiologia</i> , 49(3), 229–237.
243	Hertz, E., Trudel, M., Cox, M. K., & Mazumder, A. (2015). Effects of fasting and nutritional restriction on the
244	isotopic ratios of nitrogen and carbon: A meta-analysis. Ecology and evolution, 5(21), 4829–4839.
245	Hinks, C., & Byers, J. (1976). Biosystematics of the genus euxoa (lepidoptera: Noctuidae): V. rearing proce-
246	dures, and life cycles of 36 species. <i>The Canadian Entomologist</i> , 108(12), 1345–1357.

Feeding and growth variations affect δ 13C and δ 15N mass budget

A PREPRINT

247	Martinez del Rio, C., Wolf, N., Carleton, S. A., & Gannes, L. Z. (2009). Isotopic ecology ten years after a call
248	for more laboratory experiments. <i>Biological Reviews</i> , 84(1), 91–111.
249	McConnaughey, T., & McRoy, C. (1979). Food-web structure and the fractionation of carbon isotopes in the
250	bering sea. <i>Marine biology</i> , 53(3), 257–262.
251	McCue, M. D. (2008). Endogenous and environmental factors influence the dietary fractionation of 13c and
252	15n in hissing cockroaches gromphadorhina portentosa. <i>Physiological and Biochemical Zoology</i> , 81(1)
253	14–24.
254	Melzer, E., & Schmidt, H. (1987). Carbon isotope effects on the pyruvate dehydrogenase reaction and their
255	importance for relative carbon-13 depletion in lipids. <i>Journal of Biological Chemistry</i> , 262(17), 8159-
256	8164.
257	Oelbermann, K., & Scheu, S. (2002). Stable isotope enrichment (δ 15n and δ 13c) in a generalist predator
258	(pardosa lugubris, araneae: Lycosidae): Effects of prey quality. Oecologia, 337–344.
259	O'Leary, M. H. (1981). Carbon isotope fractionation in plants. <i>Phytochemistry</i> , 20(4), 553–567.
260	Olive, P. J., Pinnegar, J. K., Polunin, N. V., Richards, G., & Welch, R. (2003). Isotope trophic-step fractionation
261	A dynamic equilibrium model. Journal of Animal Ecology, 72(4), 608–617.
262	Post, D. M. (2002). Using stable isotopes to estimate trophic position: Models, methods, and assumptions
263	<i>Ecology</i> , <i>83</i> (3), 703–718.
264	Schmidt, HL., Robins, R. J., & Werner, R. A. (2015). Multi-factorial in vivo stable isotope fractionation
265	Causes, correlations, consequences and applications. Isotopes in Environmental and Health Studies
266	51(1), 155–199.
267	Schmidt, O., Scrimgeour, C. M., & Curry, J. P. (1999). Carbon and nitrogen stable isotope ratios in body tissue
268	and mucus of feeding and fasting earthworms (lumbricus festivus). Oecologia, 9–15.
269	Sears, J., Hatch, S. A., & O'Brien, D. M. (2009). Disentangling effects of growth and nutritional status on
270	seabird stable isotope ratios. <i>Oecologia</i> , 159(1), 41–48.
271	Shah, J., & Bailey, E. (1976). Enzymes of ketogenesis in the fat body and the thoracic muscle of the adult
272	cockroach. Insect Biochemistry, 6(3), 251–254.
273	Sick, H., Roos, N., Saggau, E., Haas, K., Meyn, V., Walch, B., & Trugo, N. (1997). Amino acid utilization and
274	isotope discrimination of amino nitrogen in nitrogen metabolism of rat liverin vivo. Zeitschrift für
275	Ernährungswissenschaft, 36(4), 340–346.
276	Starck, J. M., Wang, T., et al. (2005). Physiological and ecological adaptations to feeding in vertebrates. Science
277	Publishers.
278	Trochine, C., Diaz Villanueva, V., Balseiro, E., & Modenutti, B. (2019). Nutritional stress by means of high
279	c: N ratios in the diet and starvation affects nitrogen isotope ratios and trophic fractionation of
280	omnivorous copepods. <i>Oecologia</i> , 190(3), 547–557.
281	Williams, C. T., Buck, C. L., Sears, J., & Kitaysky, A. S. (2007). Effects of nutritional restriction on nitrogen
282	and carbon stable isotopes in growing seabirds. <i>Oecologia</i> , 153(1), 11–18.

Feeding and growth variations affect δ 13C and δ 15N mass budget

A PREPRINT

283

Appendix

²⁸⁴ 1 Food ingredients

Ingredient	% m/m
Deionized water	76.7
Soja meal	6.79
Corn flour	6.79
Germalyne	3.40
Yeast	2.55
Agar	1.20
Casein	7.19E-01
D-Glucose	6.01E-01
Ascorbic acid	5.10E-01
Benzoic acid	2.69E-01
Linseed oil	1.92E-01
Nipagin	1.16E-01
Choline chloride	5.41E-02
Formaldehyde	3.60E-02
Alpha-Tocopheryl acetate	1.59E-02
Actitetra (Oxytetracycline 50%)	9.59E-03
Ampicillin sodium salt	7.19E-03
Myo-inositol	3.61E-03
Nicotinic acid	3.21E-03
Menadione	1.62E-03
Retinyl acetate	1.30E-03
Riboflavin	7.21E-04
Pyridoxine	7.21E-04
Thiamine hydrochloride	7.21E-04
Ergocalciferol	9.02E-05
Folic acid	6.49E-05
Biotin	1.44E-05
Cobalamin	9.74E-07

Table 1: Composition of the feed distributed to larvae, expressed as % mass/mass.

285

²⁸⁶ 2 Isotope absorption efficiencies ratio (IAER) and C_{Ejk} / C_{Ijk} calculation

Mass spectrometer usually directly gives isotopes ratio rather than isotopic content because usually, one of the isotopes has a low concentration.

Still, it is possible to compute the isotope content of egestion C_{Ejk} and intake C_{Ijk} .

For carbon, ignoring the very low concentration in unstable isotopes, we have that the total carbon content is equal to the sum of the content of each stable isotope. So that, for sample *s*:

Feeding and growth variations affect δ 13C and δ 15N mass budget A PREPRINT

$$C_s = 13C_s + 12C_s$$

²⁹² On the other hand the isotopic data are usually given in delta notation:

$$\delta 13C_s = 1000 \left[\frac{13C_s/12C_s}{13C_{PDB}/12C_{PDB}} - 1 \right]$$

We have thus two unknowns, $13C_s$ and $12C_s$, as well as two equations, enabling us to solve for the two isotopes content:

$$\frac{13C_{PDB}}{12C_{PDB}} \left(\frac{\delta 13C_s}{1000} + 1\right) = \frac{13C_s}{12C_s}$$

$$\frac{13C_{PDB}}{12C_{PDB}} \left(\frac{\delta 13C_s}{1000} + 1\right) (C_s - 13C_s) = 13C_s$$

$$13C_s = Cs \frac{\frac{13C_{PDB}}{12C_{PDB}} \left(\frac{\delta 13C_s}{1000} + 1\right)}{1 + \frac{13C_{PDB}}{12C_{PDB}} \left(\frac{\delta 13C_s}{1000} + 1\right)}$$

$$12C_s = \frac{C_s}{1 + \frac{13C_{PDB}}{12C_{PDB}} \left(\frac{\delta 13C_s}{1000} + 1\right)}$$

295 We have that $\frac{13C_{PDB}}{12C_{PDB}} \approx 0.0112372$, so, finally:

$$12C_s = \frac{C_s}{1 + 0.0112372 \left(\frac{\delta 13C_s}{1000} + 1\right)}$$

²⁹⁶ Using the isotopic content, we can compute the absorption efficiency of each isotope.

²⁹⁷ 3 Justification of the choice of linear models

We predicted that growth experienced during a given period at a certain rate would affect the isotopic content of the organism, that is, taking the example of carbon, which also holds for nitrogen:

$$13C_l = a.\mathbf{R} + b$$

with $13C_l$ the 13C content in the larva, R the growth rate, a and b some constant, we should have $\delta 13C$ expressed as a function of growth rate R as follows:

$$\delta 13C_l = 1000 \left(\frac{c.a.R+b}{1-a.R-b} - 1 \right)$$

which is a hyperbolic function of *R* (*c* here is the standard isotopes ratio constant). We should thus expect non-linearity. However, as $13C_l$ is very low, and making the approximation that for x << 1, $\frac{x}{x-1} \approx x$ we can model this relation using a linear approach. We nevertheless tested for non-linearity by performing generalized additive models and examining the effective degree of freedom (edf).

Feeding and growth variations affect δ 13C and δ 15N mass budget

A PREPRINT

GAM formula	n	EDF	p-value	R^2
$\Delta 13C \sim s(GR)$	92	2.03	<2e-16	0.368
$\Delta 15N \sim s(GR)$	92	1	<2e-16	0.524
$C IAER \sim s(MSIR)$	100	1	<2e-16	0.27

Table 2: Generalized additive models results, with the sample size n, the effective degree of freedom (edf), p-value of the smooth term and the R^2 .

³⁰⁶ For the two trophic fractionations and C IAER, the EDF indicate a linear dependence, with EDF roughly

³⁰⁷ between 1 and 2 (2). We therefore chose to use linear models.